UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRUMP INSURANCE SERVICES, INC.	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C-07-4636 MMC
v. MICHAEL P. MCGRATH, ALL RISKS, LTD.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have me following stipulation pursuant to Civil L	t and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the .R. 16-8 and ΛDR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the fol	lowing ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (Early Neutral Evaluation ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	•
appreciably more likely to meet their nee	settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: Private ADR (<i>please iden</i>	tify process and provider)
	n by: (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline _	
Dated: 12/13/2007	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 12/13/2007	Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 14, 2007

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE **DISTRICT**

Jafine M. Ches